



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3228		
09/774,545 01/31/2001		Leslie M. Brooks	2479.1078-000			
21005 75	590 05/06/2004	EXAMINER				
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			PHILLIPS, H	PHILLIPS, HASSAN A		
530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			2151	·		
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	/		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			•					
			09/774,54	5	BROOKS ET AL.			
		į	Examiner		Art Unit			
			Hassan Pl	•	2151			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within the service of the service o	STATUTORY PERIOD F ATE OF THIS COMMUN y be available under the provisions of from the mailing date of this comr pecified above is less than thirty (3 as specified above, the maximum st the set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. s0) days, a reply v atutory period will v will, by statute, o	6(a). In no ever within the statu Il apply and will cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from eation to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status								
1) Responsive	to communication(s) file	ed on 13 No	vember 20	02.				
2a) ☐ This action	• •	2b)⊠ This a	•					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claim	ıs							
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
10)⊠ The drawing Applicant ma Replacemen	ation is objected to by the plant of the pla	2001 is/are: ection to the di g the correction	a) ☐ acce rawing(s) be on is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	on's Patent Drawing Review (F			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	D-152)		
3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.5. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on May 18, 2001, and the supplemental IDS filed on January 4, 2002, have both been received and considered by the examiner.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 1015, and 1035 of Fig. 10, and 1240 of Fig. 12. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9, line 20, there is an incorrect reference numeral used to reference "PC". The examiner feels the correct reference numeral for "PC" should be 205.

On page 12, line 24, there is an incorrect reference numeral used to reference "first link". The examiner feels the correct reference numeral for the "first link" should be 220a.

Page 3

On page 19, line 7, there is an incorrect reference numeral used to reference "the process". The examiner feels the correct reference numeral for "the process" should be 1000.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the state of data link compression" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. In order to complete the examination of the application for patent the examiner has interpreted the limitation to read "a state of data link compression".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/774,545 Page 4

Art Unit: 2151

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6-14, 18-26, 28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gillon et al. (hereinafter Gillon), U.S. patent 5,838,927.
- 3. In considering claims 1, 13, 25, and 28, Gillon discloses a method for compressing a data stream comprising:
 - a) Filtering protocol-specific header and control information of a protocol data unit (PDU) to determine compressibility of the contents of the PDU, (col. 5, lines 48-50);
 - b) Based on the result of filtering, selecting a state of data link compression for the PDU to optimize compression efficiency, (col. 5, lines 52-56).
- 4. In considering claims 2, 14, and 26, the method of Gillon teaches compressing the contents of the PDU as a function of the state of data link compression. See col. 5, lines 52-56.
- 5. In considering claims 6 and 18, it is inherent in the method taught by Gillon that a table is accessed having entries with specific media types deemed compression limited. See col. 5, lines 39-50.

Art Unit: 2151

Page 5

- 6. In considering claims 7 and 19, it is also inherent in the method taught by Gillon that filtering includes associating individual PDU's to specific media types. See col. 5, lines 48-56.
- 7. In considering claims 8 and 20, the method of Gillon teaches determining if a given PDU is associated with a previously filtered PDU, and, if so, assigning the same state of data link compression for the given PDU as for the previously filtered PDU. See col. 5, lines 48-57.
- 8. In considering claims 9 and 21, it is inherent in the method taught by Gillon that a table is accessed including information of previously filtered PDU's, when determining if a given PDU is associated with a previously filtered PDU. See col. 5, lines 48-56.
- 9. In considering claims 10 and 22, it is also inherent in the method taught by Gillon that data link compression is disabled if the compressibility of the contents of the PDU is determined to be low. See col. 5, lines 48-56.
- 10. In considering claims 11 and 23, the method of Gillon teaches enabling data link compression if the compressibility of the contents of the PDU is determined to be high. See col. 5, lines 48-56.

Art Unit: 2151

Page 6

11. In considering claims 12 and 24, the method of Gillon further teaches utilizing tables initialized with patterns expected to be contained in the content of the PDU, and used by the data link compression. See col. 5, lines 33-38.

- 12. Claims 29-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christensen et al. (hereinafter Christensen), U.S. patent 5,555,377.
- 13. In considering claim 29, Christensen discloses a method for optimizing compression efficiency comprising:
 - a) Without changes to a subordinate protocol layer, or changes to higher protocol layers that the subordinate protocol layer carries, selectively controlling the state of a compression algorithm for compressing data transported by PDU's across a connection in a data communication network to optimize the compression efficiency, (col. 2, lines 1-18).
- 14. In considering claim 30, the method of Christensen discloses selectively controlling the state of the compression algorithm by enabling or disabling the compression algorithm. See col. 2, lines 1-12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2151

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. Claims 3-5, 15-17, 27, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gillon in view of Christensen.
- 3. In considering claims 3 and 15, although the disclosed method of Gillon shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to expressly disclose:
 - a) Indicating whether the contents of the PDU have been compressed or not.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Christensen teaches a method for adaptive compression comprising:

a) Applying an indication in a compressed PDU to indicate whether the contents of the PDU have been compressed, (col. 5, lines 54-61).

Given the teachings of Christensen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Gillon to also teach a means of indicating whether contents of a compressed PDU have been compressed by applying an indication in, or with, the compressed PDU. This would have provided an efficient means for the device assigned to decompress the PDU to determine whether decompression is necessary or not, Christensen, col. 5, lines 49-53.

4. In considering claims 4, 16, and 27, Gillon further discloses decompressing the compressed contents of the PDU, col. 5, lines 13-17.

Art Unit: 2151

- 5. In considering claims 5 and 17, the combined methods taught by Gillon and Christensen with respect to claims 3, 4, 15, and 16, provide a means for decompressing the compressed contents of a PDU in a pre-negotiated manner based on the indication of whether the contents of the PDU have been compressed.
- 6. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christensen in view of Gillon.
 - 7. In considering claim 31, the method of Christensen further discloses:
 - a) Selectively controlling the state of the compression algorithm by analyzing network activity, (col. 4, lines 1-67).

Although the disclosed method of Christensen shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to expressly disclose:

 a) Analyzing the header of a PDU to control the state of the compression algorithm.

Nevertheless, the method of Gillon teaches:

 a) Controlling the state of compression by analyzing protocol-specific header and control information of the PDU's of the higher protocol layers, (col. 5, lines 39-50).

Given the teachings of Gillon, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Christensen to selectively control the state of the

Art Unit: 2151

compression algorithm by analyzing the header and control information of the PDU's traversing the network. This would have provided a more efficient means for determining whether or not to compress data traversing the network, and would have also provided an efficient utilization of the network by only compressing data deemed to be compressible, Christensen, col. 5, lines 48-50.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gillon et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,838,927, discloses a method for compressing data.

Christensen et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,555,377 discloses a method for selectively compressing data transferred in a network.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hassan Phillips whose telephone number is (703) 305-8760. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HP/ 4/22/04

FRANTZ B. JEAN